

<b>Title</b>	On quasifields
<b>Author</b>	Tuyosi Oyama
<b>Citation</b>	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 22(1); 35-54
<b>Issue Date</b>	1985-03
<b>ISSN</b>	0030-6126
<b>Textversion</b>	Publisher
<b>Relation</b>	The OJM has been digitized through Project Euclid platform <a href="http://projecteuclid.org/ojm">http://projecteuclid.org/ojm</a> starting from Vol. 1, No. 1.

Placed on: Osaka City University

## ON QUASIFIELDS

Dedicated to Professor Kentaro Murata on his 60th birthday

TUYOSI OYAMA

(Received August 22, 1983)

### 1. Introduction

A finite translation plane  $\Pi$  is represented in a vector space  $V(2n, q)$  of dimension  $2n$  over a finite field  $GF(q)$ , and determined by a spread  $\pi = \{V(0), V(\infty)\} \cup \{V(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of  $V(2n, q)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a subset of the general linear transformation group  $GL(V(n, q))$ . Furthermore  $\Pi$  is coordinatized by a quasifield of order  $q^n$ .

In this paper we take a  $GF(q)$ -vector space in  $V(2n, q^n)$  and a subset  $\Sigma^*$  of  $GL(n, q^n)$ , and construct a quasifield. This quasifield consists of all elements of  $GF(q^n)$ , and has two binary operations such that the addition is the usual field addition but the multiplication is defined by the elements of  $\Sigma^*$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $q$  be a prime power. For  $x \in GF(q^n)$  put  $x = x^{(0)}$ ,  $x = x^{(1)} = x^q$  and  $x^{(i)} = x^{q^i}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ . Then the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^{(i)}$  is the automorphism of  $GF(q^n)$  fixing the subfield  $GF(q)$  elementwise.

For a matrix  $\alpha = (a_{ij}) \in GL(n, q^n)$  put  $\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{a}_{ij})$ . Let

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be an  $n \times n$  permutation matrix. Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\alpha \in GL(n, q^n) \mid \bar{\alpha} = \alpha\omega\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\mathfrak{A} = GL(n, q)\alpha_0$  for any  $\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Furthermore let  $\alpha$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $GF(q^n)$ . Then  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_0^{(1)} & \dots & a_0^{(n-1)} \\ a_1 & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_1^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-1}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are linearly independent over the field  $GF(q)$ .

Proof. For any element  $\delta$  of  $GL(n, q)$ ,  $\overline{\delta\alpha_0} = \delta\overline{\alpha_0} = \delta\alpha_0\omega$ . Hence  $\delta\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Conversely for any element  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha\alpha_0^{-1}} = \alpha\omega\omega^{-1}\alpha_0^{-1} = \alpha\alpha_0^{-1} \in GL(n, q)$  and so  $\alpha \in GL(n, q)\alpha_0$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{A} = GL(n, q)\alpha_0$ .

Let  $\alpha = (a_{ij})$  be any element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha\omega$ ,  $\overline{a_{i1}} = a_{i2}$ ,  $\overline{a_{i2}} = a_{i3}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\overline{a_{in-1}} = a_{in}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $a_{ij} = a_{i1}^{(j-1)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Furthermore since  $\alpha$  is a non-singular matrix,  $a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}$  are linearly independent over  $GF(q)$ .

The converse is clear.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then*

$$\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_0^{(1)} & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_{n-1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_0^{(n-1)} & a_1^{(n-1)} & \dots & a_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n, q^n).$$

Proof. Since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha\omega$ . Hence  $\overline{\alpha^{-1}} = \omega^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$ . Then the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $GL(n, q)^\alpha = \{\gamma \in GL(n, q^n) \mid \overline{\gamma} = \gamma^\omega\}$ .*

Proof. For any  $\delta \in GL(n, q)$   $\overline{\delta\alpha} = \delta\overline{\alpha} = (\delta^\omega)^\alpha$ . Conversely let  $\gamma \in GL(n, q^n)$  with  $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^\omega$ . Then  $\overline{\gamma^{\omega^{-1}}} = \overline{\gamma}^{\omega^{-1}} = \gamma^{\omega\omega^{-1}\omega^{-1}} = \gamma^{\omega^{-1}}$ . Thus  $\gamma^{\omega^{-1}} \in GL(n, q)$  and so  $GL(n, q)^\alpha = \{\gamma \in GL(n, q^n) \mid \overline{\gamma} = \gamma^\omega\}$ .

Since  $\alpha$  is any element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we denote  $GL(n, q)^\alpha$  by  $GL(n, q)^*$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\gamma$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $GF(q^n)$ . Then  $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^\omega$  if and only if*

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{n-1}^{(1)} & \dots & a_1^{(n-1)} \\ a_1 & a_0^{(1)} & \dots & a_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2}^{(1)} & \dots & a_0^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let  $\gamma = (a_{ij})$  with  $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^\omega$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a_{11}} & \overline{a_{12}} & \dots & \overline{a_{1n}} \\ \overline{a_{21}} & \overline{a_{22}} & \dots & \overline{a_{2n}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \overline{a_{n1}} & \overline{a_{n2}} & \dots & \overline{a_{nn}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{21} \\ a_{23} & a_{33} & \dots & a_{31} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $a_{ij} = \overline{a_{i-1, j-1}}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  modulo  $n$ . Hence  $a_{i1}^{(j)} = a_{i+j-1+j}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  modulo  $n$ , and so  $\gamma$  has the required form.



5) For  $a, b, c \in Q$  with  $a \neq b$  there exists exactly one  $x \in Q$  such that  $x \circ a - x \circ b = c$ .

6) There exists an element  $1 \in Q \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $1 \circ a = a \circ 1 = a$  for all  $a \in Q$  (see [6] p. 22).

It is well known that an affine plane is a translation plane if and only if it is coordinatized by a quasifield (see [4], Theorem 6.1). Using this result, we give a new description of a quasifield.

After fixing a suitable basis in  $V(n, q)$ , we denote a vector  $v$  of  $V(n, q)$  by the form  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ ,  $x_i \in GF(q)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in the section 2. Then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_0^{(1)} & \dots & a_0^{(n-1)} \\ a_1 & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_1^{(n-1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-1}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $v\alpha = (x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)}) \in V(n, q^n)$ ,  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i a_i$ .

Conversely, let  $v^*$  be a vector of  $V(n, q^n)$  of the form  $(x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)})$ ,  $x \in GF(q^n)$ . Since  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are linearly independent over  $GF(q)$ ,  $x$  is uniquely represented by  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i a_i$ ,  $x_i \in GF(q)$ . Hence  $v^{*\alpha^{-1}} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in V(n, q)$ . Thus  $V(n, q)^\alpha = \{(x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)}) \mid x \in GF(q^n)\}$ , and  $V(n, q)^\alpha$  is a  $GF(q)$ -vector space isomorphic to  $V(n, q)$ .

Set  $V(2n, q)^\alpha = \{(u\alpha, v\alpha) \mid u, v \in V(n, q)\}$ . Then similarly  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$  is a  $GF(q)$ -vector space isomorphic to  $V(2n, q)$ .

Denote a vector  $(x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)})$  of  $V(n, q)^\alpha$  by  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ . Then any vector of  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$  is denoted by  $\langle\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle\rangle$ . The additive group of  $GF(q^n)$  is isomorphic to  $V(n, q)^\alpha$  under a mapping  $x \rightarrow \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ . In this mapping the inverse image of  $v^* \in V(n, q)^\alpha$  is denoted by  $\widehat{v^*}$ .

Let  $M$  be any element of  $GL(n, q)$ . Since by Lemma 2.5

$$M^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1}^{(1)} & \dots & x_1^{(n-1)} \\ x_1 & x_0^{(1)} & \dots & x_2^{(n-1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-2}^{(1)} & \dots & x_0^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$M^\alpha$  is uniquely determined by the first column  $\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence we denote  $M^\alpha$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Let  $\pi = \{V(\infty)\} \cup \{V(M) \mid M \in \Sigma\}$  be a spread of  $V(2n, q)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a union of a subset of  $GL(n, q)$  and  $\{0\}$ . Set  $\pi^\alpha = \{V^*(\infty)\} \cup \{V^*(M^\alpha) \mid M \in \Sigma\}$ , where  $V^*(\infty) = \{(\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) \mid \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)^\alpha\}$  and  $V^*(M^\alpha) = \{(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle M^\alpha) \mid \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)^\alpha\}$ .

Then since  $(v\alpha)M^\alpha = (vM)\alpha$ ,  $\pi^\alpha$  is a spread of  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$ . Hence  $\pi^\alpha$  determines a translation plane, which is denoted by  $\Pi^*$ . From now on we may assume that a spread  $\pi^\alpha$  contains  $V^*(1) = \{(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) \mid \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)^\alpha\}$  ([6], Lemma 2.1).

For any two vectors  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \neq \langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$  of  $V(n, q)^\alpha$ , there is a unique matrix  $M^\alpha \in \Sigma^\alpha$  such that  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle M^\alpha = \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$ . Set  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then any element  $y$  of  $GF(q^n)$  uniquely determines  $M^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^\alpha$  such that  $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle M^\alpha = \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$ .

This implies  $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i$ . Conversely  $M^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^\alpha$  uniquely determines

$y \in FG(q^n)$  such that  $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle M^\alpha = \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$  with  $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i$ . Hence we denote  $M^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^\alpha$  by  $[y]$ , where  $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i$ . Then a mapping  $GF(q^n) \rightarrow \Sigma^\alpha$  is a bijec-

tion under  $y \rightarrow [y]$ . Hence  $\Sigma^\alpha = \{[x] \mid x \in GF(q^n)\}$ . In this mapping the inverse image of  $M^* \in \Sigma^\alpha$  is denoted by  $\hat{M}^*$ .

Let  $\Pi^*$  be a translation plane with a spread  $\pi^\alpha$  defined in  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$ . If a point of  $\Pi^*$  is represented by  $(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle)$  as a vector of  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$ , then we give a coordinate  $(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in GF(q^n)$ , for this point. Then the set  $Q$  consisting of all elements of  $GF(q^n)$  coordinates the plane  $\Pi$ , and  $Q$  is a quasifield with the following two binary operations  $+$  and  $\circ$ :

(1) The addition  $+$  is the usual field addition.

(2) The multiplication  $\circ$  is given by  $x \circ y = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \widehat{[y]}$ , and if  $[y] = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ ,

then  $x \circ y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{(i)} y_i$ .

Using this coordinate, we can write the lines of  $\Pi^*$  as follows:

$$V^*(m) + k = \{(x, x \circ m + k) \mid x \in GF(q^n)\} \cup \{(m)\},$$

$$V^*(\infty) + k = \{(k, y) \mid y \in GF(q^n)\} \cup \{(\infty)\},$$

$$l_\infty = \{(m) \mid m \in GF(q^n)\} \cup \{(\infty)\}.$$

Assume that  $\Sigma^*$  consists of  $q^n - 1$  matrices of  $GL(n, q)^\alpha$  and 0. We call  $\Sigma^*$  a spread set of degree  $n$  over  $GF(q^n)$  if  $\Sigma^*$  has the following properties:

a) For  $m = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ , put  $\beta(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i$ . Then  $\{\beta(m) | m \in \Sigma^*\} = GF(q^n)$ .

Hence we may set  $m = [\beta(m)]$ .

b) If  $m_1, m_2 \in \Sigma^*$  and if  $m_1 \neq m_2$ , then  $m_1 - m_2 \in GL(n, q)^\alpha$ .

Clearly for any vector  $((x)) \neq ((0)) \in V(n, q)^\alpha$ ,  $\{((x))m | m \in \Sigma^*\} = V(n, q)^\alpha$ . Set

$$V^*(\infty) = \{((0)), ((x)) | ((x)) \in V(n, q)^\alpha\},$$

$$V^*(m) = \{((x)), ((x))m | ((x)) \in V(n, q)^\alpha\}.$$

Then  $\{V^*(\infty)\} \cup \{V^*(m) | m \in \Sigma^*\}$  is a spread of  $V(2n, q)^\alpha$ , and so defines a translation plane  $\Pi^*$ .

Conversely let  $Q$  be any finite quasifield with binary two operations  $+$  and  $\circ$ . The kernel of  $Q$  is the set  $K(Q)$  consisting of all elements  $k \in Q$  such that  $(k \circ a) \circ b = k \circ (a \circ b)$  and  $k \circ (a + b) = k \circ a + k \circ b$  for all  $a, b \in Q$ . Then  $K(Q)$  is a finite field, and  $Q$  is a  $K(Q)$ -vector space. Let  $K(Q)$  be of order  $q$  and let  $Q$  be of dimension  $n$  over  $K(Q)$ . Then M. Hall has proved the following ([3]):

Let  $V(2n, q) = Q \oplus Q$ , the outer direct sum of two copies of the  $K(Q)$ -vector space  $Q$ . If  $V(m) = \{(x, x \circ m) | x \in Q\}$  and  $V(\infty) = \{(0, x) | x \in Q\}$ , then  $\pi = \{V(m) | m \in Q \cup \{\infty\}\}$  is a spread of  $V(2n, q)$ . Furthermore the spread set is  $\Sigma = \{(x \rightarrow x \circ m) | m \in Q\}$ .

Hence the translation plane defined by  $\pi$  is coordinatized by  $Q$ . Thus we have

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Sigma^* = \{[x] | x \in GF(q^n)\}$  be a spread set of degree  $n$  over  $GF(q^n)$ . Then we have a quasifield  $Q$  with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\circ$  satisfying the followings:*

- (1)  $Q = GF(q^n)$  as a set.
- (2) The addition  $+$  is the usual field addition of  $GF(q^n)$ .

(3) The multiplication  $\circ$  is given by  $x \circ y = \widehat{((x))}[y]$ , where  $((x)) = (x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)}) \in V(n, q^n)$  and  $[y] \in \Sigma^*$ .

Furthermore any finite quasifield is isomorphic to some quasifield constructed by the above method.

A quasifield  $Q$  with a spread set  $\Sigma^*$  of degree  $n$  over  $GF(q^n)$  is denoted by  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$ . Since  $((k)) = (k, k, \dots, k)$  for  $k \in GF(q)$  in  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$ ,  $k \circ x = \widehat{((k))}[x] = kx$  for any  $x \in Q$ . Hence  $(k \circ a) \circ b = \widehat{((ka))}[b] = k \widehat{((a))}[b] = k \circ (a \circ b)$  and  $k \circ (a + b) = k(a + b) = ka + kb = k \circ a + k \circ b$ . Thus  $GF(q)$  is contained in the kernel  $K(Q)$  of  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$ .

#### 4. Examples

A quasifield is determined by the spread set. In this section we show some spread sets of the known quasifields. To construct spread sets we need a condition for two spread sets to define isomorphic quasifields or translation planes.

First using the spread set, we prove the following Maduram's Theorem. From now on  $GL(n, q)^\alpha$  is denoted by  $G^*$ .

**Theorem A** (D.M. Maduram [7]). *Let  $Q_1=Q(n, q^n, \Sigma_1^*)$  and  $Q_2=Q(n, q^n, \Sigma_2^*)$ . Then  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are isomorphic if and only if there is  $N$  in  $G^*$  and  $\theta$  in  $Aut GF(q^n)$  such that  $\Sigma_2^*=N^{-1}\Sigma_1^{*\theta}N$  and  $((1))N=((1))$ .*

Furthermore let  $f$  be the isomorphism from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$ , then  $f(x)=\widehat{((x))}N$  and  $[f(x)]=N^{-1}[x]^\theta N$  for  $x \in Q_1$ .

Proof. Let  $f$  be an isomorphism from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$ . Then  $f$  fixes  $GF(q)$  as a set and so  $f$  induces an automorphism of  $GF(q)$ . Hence there is  $\theta$  in  $Aut GF(q^n)$  such that  $f(k)=k^\theta$  for any element  $k$  of  $GF(q)$ . Then for  $a \in Q_1$

$$f(ka) = f(k \circ a) = f(k) \circ f(a) = k^\theta f(a).$$

Let  $\bar{f}$  be a mapping of  $V(n, q)^\alpha$  onto itself defined by  $\bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle f(x) \rangle\rangle$  for  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)^\alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle) &= \bar{f}(\langle\langle x+y \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle f(x+y) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f(x)+f(y) \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle f(x) \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle f(y) \rangle\rangle = \bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) + \bar{f}(\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $k \in GF(q)$

$$\bar{f}(\langle\langle kx \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle f(kx) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle k^\theta f(x) \rangle\rangle = k^\theta \langle\langle f(x) \rangle\rangle = k^\theta \bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle).$$

Thus  $\bar{f}$  is a non-singular semi-linear transformation of  $V(n, q)^\alpha$ .

Next let  $\phi$  be a mapping of  $V(n, q)$  onto itself defined by  $\phi(v) = \bar{f}(v\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ . Then clearly  $\phi(v_1+v_2) = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2)$  and  $\phi(kv) = k^\theta \phi(v)$ . Thus  $\phi$  is also a non-singular semi-linear transformation of  $V(n, q)$ . Hence there is  $N_1$  in  $GL(n, q)$  such that

$$\phi(\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle^\theta N_1$$

for  $\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)$ . On the other hand set  $\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \alpha = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ . Then

$$\phi(\langle\langle x, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle) = \bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) \alpha^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\bar{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle^\theta N_1 \alpha.$$

By Lemma 2.1  $\alpha^\theta = N_2 \alpha$ ,  $N_2 \in GL(n, q)$ . Hence

$$\langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle = (x_2, \dots, x_n)^\theta \alpha^\theta \alpha = (x_2, \dots, x_n)^\theta N_2 \alpha$$

and so

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\theta = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \alpha^{-1} N_2^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \alpha^{-1} N_2^{-1} N_1 \alpha.$$

Set  $N = \alpha^{-1} N_2^{-1} N_1 \alpha \in G^*$ . Then

$$\tilde{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle N.$$

Since  $\tilde{f}(\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle f(x) \rangle\rangle$ ,

$$\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f(1) \rangle\rangle = \tilde{f}(\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle N \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N}.$$

Then since  $f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y) = \langle\langle f(x) \rangle\rangle [f(y)] = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} [f(y)]$  and  $f(x \circ y) = \langle\langle x \circ y \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle [y]^\theta N$ ,  $\langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle N [f(y)] = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle [y]^\theta N$  for any  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \in V(n, q)^\alpha$ .

Thus  $N[f(y)] = [y]^\theta N$  and so  $[f(y)] = N^{-1}[y]^\theta N$  for any  $y \in Q_1$ . Hence we have  $\Sigma_2^* = N^{-1} \Sigma_1^* N$ .

Conversely let  $f$  be a mapping from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$  defined by  $f(x) = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N}$ . Then

$$f(x+y) = \langle\langle (x+y)^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} + \langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} = f(x) + f(y)$$

and

$$f(x \circ y) = \langle\langle x \circ y \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle [y]^\theta N = \langle\langle x^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} N^{-1} [y]^\theta N.$$

Since  $\Sigma_2^* = N^{-1} \Sigma_1^* N$ ,

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ N^{-1} [y]^\theta N.$$

Furthermore

$$\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle N^{-1} [y]^\theta N = \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle [y]^\theta N = \langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle N.$$

On the other hand

$$\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle [\langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N}] = \langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle N.$$

Hence

$$N^{-1} [y]^\theta N = [\langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N}]$$

and so

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ \langle\langle y^\theta \rangle\rangle \widehat{N} = f(x) \circ f(y).$$

Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$ .

Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be two spreads in  $V(2n, q)$  both containing  $V(\infty)$ . Let  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  be translation planes defined by  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Then  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a non-singular semi-linear transformation in  $V(2n, q)$  taking  $\pi_1$  onto  $\pi_2$  ([5], p. 82).

Let  $M(n, q)$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $GF(q)$ . Then all elements of  $M(n, q)^{\alpha}$  have the forms as in Lemma 2.4. Using elements of  $M(n, q)^{\alpha}$  and  $\text{Aut } GF(q^n)$ , we describe Sherk's Theorem with the following extended form.

**Theorem B** (F.A. Sherk [8]). *Let  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  be translation planes coordinatized by quasifields  $Q_1=Q(n, q^n, \Sigma_1^*)$  and  $Q_2=Q(n, q^n, \Sigma_2^*)$ . Then  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  are isomorphic if and only if there exist  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  in  $M(n, q)^{\alpha}$  and  $\theta$  in  $\text{Aut } GF(q^n)$  with the following properties:*

a)  $\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ .

b) *Either*

i)  $B=0, A \in G^*$  and  $\Sigma_2^* = \{A^{-1}(C + [m]^{\theta}D) \mid [m] \in \Sigma_1^*\}$ .

ii)  $B \in G^*, B^{-1}D \in \Sigma_2^*$ . Also, there is  $[m_0] \in \Sigma_1^*$  such that  $A + [m_0]^{\theta}B = 0$ .

For any  $[m] \in \Sigma_1^* \setminus \{[m_0]\}$ ,  $A + [m]^{\theta}B \in G^*$  and  $(A + [m]^{\theta}B)^{-1}(C + [m]^{\theta}D) \in \Sigma_2^*$ .

From now on we denote the operations of  $GF(q^n)$  by  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , and the operations of a quasifield by  $+$  and  $\circ$ .

(I) Finite fields

A quasifield  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$  with  $\Sigma^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in GF(q^n)\}$  is isomorphic to  $GF(q^n)$ .

(II) Finite generalized Andre quasifields

Let  $Q=Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$  be a quasifield. If the mapping  $x \rightarrow (x \circ a)a^{-1}$  is an automorphism of  $GF(q^n)$ , then  $Q$  is called a generalized Andre quasifield.

Since  $k \circ a = ka$  for  $k \in GF(q)$ , the automorphism  $x \rightarrow (x \circ a)a^{-1}$  fixes  $GF(q)$  elementwise. Hence  $(x \circ a)a^{-1} = x^{\rho(a)}$ ,  $\rho(a) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . This yields

$x \circ a = x^{\rho(a)}a = x^{(\rho(a))}a$ . Let  $[a] = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$x \circ a = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle[a] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x^{(i)}a_i = x^{(\rho(a))}a.$$

Hence

$$a_0x + a_1x^{(1)} + \dots + (a_{\rho(a)} - a)x^{(\rho(a))} + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{(n-1)} = 0$$

for all  $x \in GF(q^n)$ . Therefore  $a_i = 0$  if  $i \neq \rho(a)$  and  $a_{\rho(a)} = a$ . A matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$  with

exactly one nonzero entry  $a_i=a$  is denoted by  $[a(i)]$ . Then the spread set is  $\Sigma^* = \{[a]=[a(\rho(a)+1)] \mid a \in GF(q^n) \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\}$ .

For instance, spread sets of generalized Andre quasifields  $Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  and  $Q(3, q^3, \Sigma^*)$  are as follows. For  $x \in GF(q^2)$  or  $GF(q^3)$  set  $N(x)=x^{1+q}$  or  $N(x)=x^{1+q+q^2}$  respectively.

(1)  $Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$

$\Sigma^* = \Sigma_1^* \cup \Sigma_2^* \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\Sigma_1^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, a \neq 0\}$  and  $\Sigma_2^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \end{bmatrix}, a \neq 0\}$ . Moreover  $N(a_1) \neq N(a_2)$  for  $[a_1] \in \Sigma_1^*$  and  $[a_2] \in \Sigma_2^*$  since  $\det([a_1] - [a_2]) = N(a_1) - N(a_2) \neq 0$ .

(2)  $Q(3, q^3, \Sigma^*)$

$\Sigma^* = \Sigma_1^* \cup \Sigma_2^* \cup \Sigma_3^* \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\Sigma_1^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, a \neq 0\}$ ,  $\Sigma_2^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, a \neq 0\}$  and  $\Sigma_3^* = \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ a \end{bmatrix}, a \neq 0\}$ . Moreover if  $[a] \in \Sigma_i^*$ ,  $[b] \in \Sigma_j^*$  and  $i \neq j$ , then  $N(a) \neq N(b)$  since  $\det([a] - [b]) = N(a) - N(b) \neq 0$ .

### (III) Finite Dickson nearfields

We call a quasifield  $Q$  a nearfield, if the multiplication of  $Q$  is associative, i.e.  $Q \setminus \{0\}$  is the multiplicative group. Let  $Q$  be a nearfield with a spread set  $\Sigma^*$ . Then for any  $x \in Q$ ,  $x \circ (a \circ b) = (x \circ a) \circ b$ . Then  $(\langle x \rangle)[a \circ b] = (\langle x \rangle)[a][b]$ . Thus we have  $[a \circ b] = [a][b]$  and so  $[a][b] \in \Sigma^*$ .

If a generalized Andre quasifield  $Q$  is a nearfield, then  $Q$  is called a Dickson nearfield. In a Dickson nearfield  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$ , let  $\rho$  be the mapping defined in (II), i.e.  $x \circ a = x^{q^{\rho(a)}}a$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $Q = Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$  be a Dickson nearfield. Then  $K = \{a \in Q \mid a \circ x = ax \text{ for all } x \in Q\}$  is the subfield  $GF(q^m)$  of  $GF(q^n)$  with  $n = mr$ .*

*Furthermore we have a Dickson nearfield  $Q' = Q(r, (q^m)^r, \Sigma'^*)$  as follows;*

*If  $[a] = [a][a(\rho(a)+1)]$  in  $\Sigma^*$ , then  $[a] = \left[ a \left( \frac{\rho(a)}{m} + 1 \right) \right]$  in  $\Sigma'^*$ . Hence  $Q'$  is identified with  $Q$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in K$ . Then for any  $x \in Q$ ,  $(a+b) \circ x = a \circ x + b \circ x = ax + bx = (a+b)x$  and  $(a \circ b) \circ x = a \circ (b \circ x) = a(bx) = (ab)x = (a \circ b)x$ . Thus  $a+b \in K$  and  $a \circ b = ab \in K$  and so  $K$  is a subfield of  $GF(q^n)$ , say  $K = GF(q^m)$ . Then  $n = mr$ . Let  $x \in K$  and  $a \in Q \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $xa = x \circ a = x^{q^{\rho(a)}}a$ . Hence  $x = x^{q^{\rho(a)}}$  and so  $\rho(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $x \circ a = x^{q^{\rho(a)}}a = x^{(q^m)^{\frac{\rho(a)}{m}}}a$ . Hence if we take a  $r \times r$  matrix  $[a]' = a \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho(a)}{m} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]$ , and set  $\Sigma'^* = \{[a]' \mid a \in GF(q^n) \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\}$ , then we can identify  $Q(r, (q^m)^r, \Sigma'^*)$  with  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$ .

Now we describe a theorem of E. Ellers and H. Karzl [2] using a spread set.

**Theorem C** (E. Eller and H. Karzel). *Let  $Q(n, q^n, \Sigma^*)$  be a finite Dickson nearfield such that  $GF(q) = \{k \in Q \mid k \circ x = kx \text{ for all } x \in Q\}$ . Then the following hold:*

- 1) *Every prime divisor of  $n$  divides  $q-1$ .*
- 2) *If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $q \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Furthermore the spread set  $\Sigma^*$  is as follows:*

*Let  $\omega$  be a generator of the multiplicative group  $(GF(q^n), \cdot)$  and set  $U = \langle \omega^n \rangle$ . Then there is a positive integer  $t$  with  $(n, t) = 1$ ,*

$$(GF(q^n), \cdot) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^i (q^i - 1)(q-1)^{-1} U.$$

*If  $a \in \omega^{t(q^i-1)(q-1)^{-1}} U$ , then  $[a] = [a(i+1)]$ .*

Conversely by a theorem of H. Lüneburg ([6], Theorem 6.4) we can construct a Dickson nearfield as follows;

Assume that  $n$  and  $q$  satisfy the conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem C. Let  $\omega$  be a generator of the multiplicative group  $GF(q^n)$  and  $(n, t) = 1$ . Then  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{[a(i+1)] \mid a \in \omega^{t(q^i-1)(q-1)^{-1}} U\} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $U = \langle \omega^n \rangle$ .

(IV) Quasifields of order 9

M. Hall has proved that there exist up to isomorphism exactly five quasifields of order 9 ([3]). We prove this theorem using a spread set.

**Theorem 2.** *There exist up to isomorphism exactly five quasifields with the following spread sets.*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^* &= \{[a] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in GF(9)\}, \\ \Sigma_2^* &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega + 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm \omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \Sigma_3^* &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \pm \omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \Sigma_4^* &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \pm \omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \Sigma_5^* &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm(\omega-1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega-1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega-1 \\ \pm \omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega$  is the root of  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$  in  $GF(9)$ .

Proof.  $Q(1, 9, \Sigma^*)$  is isomorphic to  $GF(9)$ .

Next we construct  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma^*)$ . Take an irreducible polynomial  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$  over  $GF(3)$ , and let  $\omega$  and  $-\omega$  be the roots of  $f(x)$  in  $GF(9)$ . Set  $N(x) = x^{1+3} = x^4$  for  $x \in GF(9)$ . Then  $N(\pm 1) = N(\pm \omega) = 1$ ,  $N(\pm \omega \pm 1) = -1$  and  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = N(a) - N(b)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.**  $\Sigma^*$  has the following properties:

- 1) Let  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ . Then  $a=c$  or  $N(a-c)=N(a)$ . If  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ , then  $b=d$  or  $N(b-d)=N(b)$ .
- 2) If  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a, b \neq 0$ , then  $a=\pm 1$  or  $\pm\omega-1$ .
- 3) If  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^* \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $b=\pm\omega\pm 1$ .

Proof. 1) Since  $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \neq 0$ ,  $N(a-c) \neq N(b)$ . Hence  $a=c$  or  $N(a-c)=N(a)$ . Similarly if  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ , then  $b=d$  or  $N(b-d)=N(b)$ .

2) Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $a=1$  or  $N(a-1)=N(a)$  by 1). Hence  $a=\pm 1$  or  $\pm\omega-1$ .

3) Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$  and  $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}\right) \neq 0$ ,  $b=\pm\omega\pm 1$ .

We use this lemma frequently in the following proofs. By Lemma 4.2,  $[-1]$ ,  $[\omega+1]$  and  $[\omega]$  have one of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} [-1] &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -\omega-1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\omega+1] &= \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} \omega-1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\omega] &= \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} \omega-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.  $[-1] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

If  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a, b \neq 0$ , then  $a=\pm 1$  since  $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \neq 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega+1] &= \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\omega] &= \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(1.1) Suppose  $[\omega+1] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \notin \Sigma^* \setminus \{0\}$ . Furthermore if  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a, b \neq 0$ , then  $a=1$ . Thus  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in GF(9) \right\}$ .

(1.1.1) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin \Sigma^*$ . Thus we have the following spread set  $\Sigma_1^*$ :

$$\Sigma_1^* = \left\{ [a] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in -GF(9) \right\}.$$

Then  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma_1^*)$  is isomorphic to  $GF(9)$ .

(1.1.2) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Sigma^* \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $a = \pm 1$  or  $\pm\omega+1$ .

Hence we have the following spread set  $\Sigma_2^*$ .

$$\Sigma_2^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm\omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \pm\omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a conjugate class in  $G^*$ , by Theorem A  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma_2^*)$  is not isomorphic to any  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma^*)$  with  $\Sigma^* \neq \Sigma_2^*$ .

(1.2) Suppose  $[\omega+1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ \pm(\omega+1) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

(1.2.1) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ \pm(\omega+1) \end{bmatrix} \notin \Sigma^*$ . Hence we have the following spread set  $\Sigma_3^*$ :

$$\Sigma_3^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma_3^*)$  is a Dickson nearfield.

(1.2.2) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$\Sigma^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \pm(\omega+1) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ \pm(\omega+1) \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Take  $\begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \in G^*$ . Then since  $\begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\langle(1)\rangle \begin{bmatrix} -\omega+1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \langle(1)\rangle$ , the quasifield with this spread set is isomorphic to  $GF(9)$  by Theorem A.

(1.3) Suppose  $[\omega+1] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \pm\omega\pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm(\omega-1) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm\omega-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \pm(\omega-1) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

(1.3.1) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Take  $\begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \in G^*$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\langle(1)\rangle \begin{bmatrix} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} = \langle(1)\rangle$ . Hence this case is included in the case (1.1).

(1.3.2) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega+1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm(\omega-1) \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pm(\omega-1) \end{bmatrix} \notin \Sigma^*$ . Hence we have the following spread set  $\Sigma_4^*$ .

$$\Sigma_4^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \pm \omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Similarly to the case (1.1.2),  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \pm \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a conjugate class in  $G^*$  and so  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma_4^*)$  is not isomorphic to any  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma^*)$  with  $\Sigma^* \neq \Sigma_4^*$ .

Case 2.  $[-1] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm(\omega - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm \omega \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Then

$$[\omega + 1] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega - 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2.1) Suppose  $[\omega + 1] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm(\omega - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm \omega \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

(2.1.1) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm(\omega - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm \omega \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Since  $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} -\omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$ , we have the following spread set  $\Sigma_5^*$ .

$$\Sigma_5^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm(\omega - 1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ \pm \omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \notin \Sigma_5^*$ , the quasifield with  $\Sigma_5^*$  is not isomorphic to any quasifield with  $\Sigma_i^*$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3, 4$ .

(2.1.2) Suppose  $[\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Since  $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$ ,  $\Sigma^* = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ -\omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} -\omega + 1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \Sigma^* \begin{bmatrix} -\omega + 1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \Sigma_5^*$  and  $((1)) \begin{bmatrix} -\omega + 1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = ((1))$ . Hence the quasifield with this spread set is isomorphic to the quasifield with  $\Sigma_5^*$  by Theorem A.

(2.2) Suppose  $[\omega + 1] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\omega + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \omega - 1 \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \omega\pm 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] \}.$$

(2.2.1) Suppose  $[\omega] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \omega-1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] \right\}$ . Since  $\det\left(\left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right]\right) = \det\left(\left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\omega-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]\right) = 0$ ,  $\Sigma^* = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \omega-1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] \right\}$ . Then  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right]^{-1} \Sigma^* \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] = \Sigma_5^*$  and  $\langle(1)\rangle \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega+1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] = \langle(1)\rangle$ . Hence the quasifield with this spread set is isomorphic to the quasifield with  $\Sigma_5^*$  by Theorem A.

(2.2.2) Suppose  $[\omega] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \omega+1 \end{array} \right]$ . Then  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \omega\pm 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pm\omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right] \right\}$ , which consists of seven matrices. Hence this case does not occur.

Case 3.  $[-1] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\omega-1 \\ \omega \end{array} \right]$ .

Since  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]^{-1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\omega-1 \\ \omega \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega-1 \\ -\omega \end{array} \right]$  and  $\langle(1)\rangle \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] = \langle(1)\rangle$ , this case is reduced to the case 2.

M. Hall has proved that there exist up to isomorphism exactly two translation planes of order 9 [3].

We prove this theorem using the spread sets  $\Sigma_i^*$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Since  $\Sigma_3^* = \{[a] + \left[ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \mid [a] \in \Sigma_3^*\} = \{[a] + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \mid [a] \in \Sigma_4^*\} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] [a] + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\omega+1 \end{array} \right] \mid [a] \in \Sigma_5^* \right\}$ , the translation plane coordinatized by the quasifield with  $\Sigma_i^*$ ,  $i=2, 4$  or 5 is isomorphic to the translation plane coordinatized by the Dickson nearfield  $Q(2, 9, \Sigma_3^*)$  by Theorem B.

(V) Hall quasifields

Let  $Q=Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  be a quasifield. If  $Q$  satisfies the following conditions, then  $Q$  is called a Hall quasifield [3]:

- 1) Let  $f(x)=x^2-rx-s$  be an irreducible polynomial over  $GF(q)$ . Every element  $\xi$  of  $Q$  not in  $GF(q)$  satisfies the quadratic equation  $f(\xi)=0$ .
- 2) Every element of  $GF(q)$  commutes with all elements of  $Q$ .

Now we determine the spread set  $\Sigma^*$  of a Hall quasifield  $Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be the element of  $GF(q^2)$  such that  $f(\omega)=\omega^2-r\omega-s=0$ .*

*Case 1. Assume that  $q$  is a power of 2. Then  $\Sigma^*$  consists of the following matrices:*

$$[k] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{for } k \in GF(q),$$

$$[a\omega+b] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega+\tau(a,b) \\ (a+1)\omega+b+\tau(a,b) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, \text{ where}$$

$$\tau(a,b) = r^{-1}(as+br+a^{-1}f(b)).$$

The multiplication in  $Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  is as follows:

$$(a\omega+b) \circ (c\omega+d) = \begin{cases} ad\omega+bd & \text{if } c=0 \\ (bc-ad+ar)\omega+bd-ac^{-1}f(d) & \text{if } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2. Assume that  $q$  is a power of an odd prime. Set  $\lambda = \omega - \bar{\omega}$ . Then  $\Sigma^*$  consists of the following matrices:

$$[k] = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } k \in G(q),$$

$$[a\lambda+b] = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{2}a - \tau(a,b)\right)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}r \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}a + \tau(a,b)\right)\lambda - \frac{1}{2}r + b \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, \text{ where}$$

$$\tau(a,b) = (2a(r^2+4s))^{-1}f(b).$$

The multiplication in  $Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  is as follows:

$$(a\lambda+b) \circ (c\lambda+d) = \begin{cases} ad\lambda+bd & \text{if } c=0 \\ (bc-ad+ar)\lambda+bd-ac^{-1}f(d) & \text{if } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case 1.  $q$  is a power of 2.

Since  $f(\omega) = \omega^2 + r\omega + s = 0$ ,  $\omega^2 = r\omega + s$ ,  $\omega + \bar{\omega} = r$  and  $\omega\bar{\omega} = s$ . Set  $GF(q^2) = \{a\omega + b \mid a, b \in GF(q)\}$ . Let  $[k] = \begin{bmatrix} a\omega + k' \\ a\omega + k' + k \end{bmatrix}$  for  $k \in GF(q)$ . Since  $k \circ \omega = \omega \circ k$  by the assumption 2), we have

$$k \circ \omega = k\omega,$$

$$\omega \circ k = (\omega, \bar{\omega}) \widehat{\begin{bmatrix} a\omega + k' \\ a\omega + k' + k \end{bmatrix}} = a\omega^2 + k'\omega + a\omega\bar{\omega} + (k+k')\bar{\omega}$$

$$= a(r\omega+s) + k'\omega + as + (k+k')(r+\omega)$$

$$= (ar+k'+k+k')\omega + as + as + (k+k')r = (ar+k)\omega + (k+k')r.$$

Hence  $a=0$  and  $k=k'$ . Thus  $[k] = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Let  $[a\omega+b] = \begin{bmatrix} a'\omega+b' \\ (a+a')\omega+b'+b \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Then

$$(a\omega+b) \circ (a\omega+b) = (a\omega+b, a\bar{\omega}+b) \widehat{\begin{bmatrix} a'\omega+b' \\ (a+a')\omega+b'+b \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$= aa'\omega^2 + ab'\omega + a'b\omega + bb' + a(a+a')\omega\bar{\omega} + a(b+b')\bar{\omega} + b(a+a')\omega + b(b+b')$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= aa'(r\omega+s) + ab'\omega + a'b\omega + bb' + a(a+a')s + a(b+b')(\omega+r) + b(a+a')\omega \\ &\quad + b(b+b') \\ &= aa'r\omega + a^2s + a(b+b')r + b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $f(a\omega+b)=0$  in  $Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &aa'r\omega + a^2s + a(b+b')r + b^2 + ar\omega + br + s \\ &= (aa'r + ar)\omega + a^2s + a(b+b')r + f(b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $a'+1=0$  and so  $a'=1$ . Furthermore  $b'=r^{-1}(as+br+a^{-1}f(b))$ . Thus

$$[a\omega+b] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega + r^{-1}(as+br+a^{-1}f(b)) \\ (a+1)\omega + b + r^{-1}(as+br+a^{-1}f(b)) \end{array} \right].$$

By computation,  $\det[a\omega+b]=s \neq 0$ ,  $\det([a\omega+b]-[k])=f(k) \neq 0$  and  $\det([a\omega+b]-[a'\omega+b'])=(aa')^{-1}((ab'+a'b)+(a+a')\omega)((ab'+a'b)+(a+a')\bar{\omega}) \neq 0$ , where  $a, a' \neq 0$ . Thus we have a spread set.

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a\omega+b) \circ (c\omega+d) &= \widehat{\langle (a\omega+b) \rangle} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \omega + \tau(c, d) \\ (c+1)\omega + \tau(c, d) + d \end{array} \right] \\ &= (bc+ad+ar)\omega + bd + ac^{-1}f(d), \quad \text{for } c \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.  $q$  is a power of an odd prime.

Let  $\lambda = \omega - \bar{\omega}$ . Then  $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$  and  $\lambda^2 = r^2 + 4s$ . Set  $GF(q^2) = \{a\lambda + b \mid a, b \in GF(q)\}$ . Similarly to the case 1,  $[k] = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for  $k \in GF(q)$ .

Let  $[a\lambda+b] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} a'\lambda+b' \\ (a-a')\lambda+b-b' \end{array} \right]$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a\lambda+b) \circ (a\lambda+b) &= \widehat{\langle (a\lambda+b) \rangle} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a'\lambda+b' \\ (a-a')\lambda+b-b' \end{array} \right] \\ &= aa'\lambda^2 + ab'\lambda + a'b\lambda + bb' - a(a-a')\lambda^2 - a(b-b')\lambda + b(a-a')\lambda + b(b-b') \\ &= 2ab'\lambda + (2aa' - a^2)(r^2 + 4s) + b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $f(a\lambda+b)=0$  in  $Q$ ,

$$2ab'\lambda + a(2a'-a)(r^2+4s) + b^2 - r(a\lambda+b) - s = 0.$$

Hence  $2ab' - ar = 0$  so  $b' = \frac{1}{2}r$ . Furthermore  $a(2a'-a)(r^2+4s) + f(b) = 0$  so  $a' = -(2a(r^2+4s))^{-1}f(b) + \frac{1}{2}a$ . Set  $\tau(a, b) = (2a(r^2+4s))^{-1}f(b)$ . Then we have

$$[a\lambda+b] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{1}{2}a - \tau(a, b)\right)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}r \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}a + \tau(a, b)\right)\lambda + b - \frac{1}{2}r \end{array} \right].$$

By computation,  $\det[a\lambda+b] = -s \neq 0$ ,  $\det([a\lambda+b] - [k]) = f(k) \neq 0$  and  $\det([a\lambda+b] - [a'\lambda+b']) = (2^{-1}(a-a')\lambda + ab' - a'b - 2^{-1}r(a-a'))(-2^{-1}(a-a')\lambda + ab' - a'b - 2^{-1}r(a-a')) \neq 0$ , where  $a, a' \neq 0$ .

Furthermore we have

$$(a\lambda+b) \circ (c\lambda+d) = (bc-ad+ra)\lambda + bd - ac^{-1}f(d) \quad \text{for } c \neq 0.$$

Moreover since  $\lambda = 2\omega - r$ , we have also

$$(a\omega+b) \circ (c\omega+d) = (bc-ad+ra)\omega + bd - ac^{-1}f(d) \quad \text{for } c \neq 0.$$

#### (VI) Walker quasifields

A quasifield  $Q = Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  with  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$  is called a Walker quasifield, if  $Q$  has the following multiplication:

$$(a\omega+b) \circ (c\omega+d) = (a(d-c^2) + bc)\omega - \frac{1}{3}ac^3 + b\omega,$$

where  $GF(q^2) = \{a\omega + b \mid a, b \in GF(q)\}$  (see [4], p. 72).

Now we determine the spread set  $\Sigma^*$  of a Walker quasifield. Since  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$ ,  $f(x) = x^2 + 3$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $GF(q)$ . Hence let  $\omega$  and  $-\omega$  be elements of  $GF(q^2)$  such that  $f(\omega) = f(-\omega) = \omega^2 + 3 = 0$ .

Set  $[a\omega+b] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} a'\omega + b' \\ (a-a')\omega + b - b' \end{array} \right]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \circ (a\omega+b) &= (\omega, -\omega) \widehat{\left[ \begin{array}{c} a'\omega + b' \\ (a-a')\omega + b - b' \end{array} \right]} \\ &= a'\omega^2 + b'\omega - (a-a')\omega^2 - (b-b')\omega \\ &= (2b' - b)\omega + 3(a - 2a'). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by the definition of the multiplication,

$$\omega \circ (a\omega+b) = (b-a^2)\omega - \frac{1}{3}a^3.$$

Hence  $2b' - b = b - a^2$  so  $b' = b - \frac{1}{2}a^2$ , and  $3(a - 2a') = -\frac{1}{3}a^3$  so  $a' = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{18}a^3$ .

Then we have

$$[a\omega+b] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{18}a^3 \right)\omega + b - \frac{1}{2}a^2 \\ \left( \frac{1}{2}a - \frac{1}{18}a^3 \right)\omega + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \end{array} \right].$$

Furthermore by computation, we can show that  $\{[a\omega+b] \mid a, b \in GF(q)\}$  satisfies the condition of a spread set.

(VII) Lüneburg quasifields

A quasifield  $Q=Q(2, (2^{2s+1})^2, \Sigma^{**})$  with  $2s+1 > 1$  is called a Lüneburg quasifield, if  $Q$  has the following multiplication:

$$(a\omega + b) \circ (c\omega + d) = (a(c^\sigma + dd^\sigma) + b\omega) \omega + ac + bd,$$

where  $\sigma$  is the automorphism of  $GF(2^{2s+1})$  such that  $x^\sigma = x^{2s+1}$  for all  $x \in GF(2^{2s+1})$  and  $GF((2^{2s+1})^2) = \{a\omega + b \mid a, b \in GF(2^{2s+1})\}$ .

Now we determine the spread set  $\Sigma^*$  of a Lüneburg quasifield. Since  $GF(2^{2s+1})$  is a field extension of odd dimension of  $GF(2)$ ,  $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $GF(2^{2s+1})$ . Hence let  $\omega$  and  $\bar{\omega}$  be elements of  $GF((2^{2s+1})^2)$  such that  $f(\omega) = f(\bar{\omega}) = 0$ . Then  $\omega + \bar{\omega} = 1$ ,  $\omega\bar{\omega} = 1$  and  $\omega^2 = \omega + 1$ .

Set  $[a\omega + b] = \left[ \begin{matrix} a'\omega + b' \\ (a+a')\omega + b + b' \end{matrix} \right]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \circ (a\omega + b) &= (\omega, \bar{\omega}) \widehat{\left[ \begin{matrix} a'\omega + b \\ (a+a')\omega + b + b' \end{matrix} \right]} \\ &= a'\omega^2 + b'\omega + (a+a')\omega\bar{\omega} + (b+b')\bar{\omega} \\ &= (a'+b)\omega + a + b + b'. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by the definition of the multiplication,

$$\omega \circ (a\omega + b) = (a^\sigma + bb^\sigma)\omega + a.$$

Hence  $a' = a^\sigma + b + bb^\sigma$  and  $b' = b$ . Thus we have

$$[a\omega + b] = \left[ \begin{matrix} (a^\sigma + b + bb^\sigma)\omega + b \\ (a + a^\sigma + b + bb^\sigma)\omega \end{matrix} \right].$$

Furthermore by computation, we can show that  $\{[a\omega + b] \mid a, b \in GF(2^{2s+1})\}$  satisfies the condition of a spread set.

Appendix. M. Matsumoto has showed the following:

A quasifield  $Q=Q(2, q^2, \Sigma^*)$  is a Hall quasifield if and only if  $\Sigma^*$  consists of  $\{[k \ 0] \mid k \in GF(q)\}$  and a conjugate class of  $G^*$  containing  $\begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\omega$  is a element of  $GF(q^2) \setminus GF(q)$ .

---

**References**

- [1] J. André: *Über nicht-Desarguesche Ebenen mit transitiver Translationsgruppe*, Math. Z. **60** (1954), 156–186.
- [2] E. Ellers and H. Karzel: *Endliche Inzidenzgruppen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **27** (1964), 250–264.
- [3] M. Hall: *Projective planes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1943), 229–277.

- [4] M. Kallaher: Affine planes with transitive collineation groups, North-Holland, 1982.
- [5] H. Lüneburg: Die Suzukigruppen und ihre Geometrien, Lecture Notes in Math. 10, Springer, 1965.
- [6] H. Lüneburg: Translation planes, Springer, 1980.
- [7] D.M. Maduram: *Matrix representation of translation planes*, Geom. Dedicata **4** (1975), 485–497.
- [8] F. Sherk: *Indicator sets in an affine space of any dimension*, Canad. J. Math. **31** (1979), 211–224.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka Kyoiku University  
Tennoji, Osaka 543  
Japan