

<b>Title</b>	On separable algebras over a commutative ring
<b>Author</b>	Endo, Shizuo / Watanabe, Yutaka
<b>Citation</b>	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 4(2); 233-242
<b>Issue Date</b>	1967-12
<b>ISSN</b>	0030-6126
<b>Textversion</b>	Publisher
<b>Relation</b>	The OJM has been digitized through Project Euclid platform <a href="http://projecteuclid.org/ojm">http://projecteuclid.org/ojm</a> starting from Vol. 1, No. 1.

Placed on: Osaka City University

## ON SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS OVER A COMMUTATIVE RING\*

SHIZUO ENDO AND YUTAKA WATANABE

(Received August 19, 1967)

**Introduction.** The notion of a separable algebra over a commutative ring was introduced in Auslander-Goldman [2], which coincides with that of a maximally central algebra in Azumaya [3] for a central algebra over a local ring. The basic properties of separable algebras were shown in [2] and [3].

The purpose of this paper is to define the reduced trace and norm of a central separable algebra over a commutative ring and to prove that a separable algebra over a commutative ring is a symmetric algebra.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  and let  $S$  be a commutative  $R$ -algebra such that  $S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_S(P, P)$  for some finitely generated, faithful, projective  $S$ -module  $P$ . Then  $S$  is called, according to [2], a *splitting ring* of  $\Lambda$ , and especially, if  $R \subseteq S$ , it is called a *proper splitting ring* of  $\Lambda$ . It was proved in [2] that a central separable algebra over a Noetherian local ring  $R$  has a proper splitting ring which is a Galois extension of  $R$ . However, for a general commutative ring  $R$ , it is an open problem whether any central separable  $R$ -algebra has a proper (Galois) splitting ring. Therefore, our method, which will be used to defining the reduced trace and norm of a central separable  $R$ -algebra, is different from the usual one in the classical case (cf. [4]).

In § 1 we shall show that a separable algebra over a general commutative ring is extended from a separable algebra over a Noetherian commutative ring, and, in § 2, we shall prove that, in case  $R$  is a commutative ring included in a semi-local ring, a central separable  $R$ -algebra has a proper splitting ring.

§ 3 is devoted to defining the reduced trace of a central separable  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  has a proper splitting ring, we can define the reduced characteristic polynomial, trace and norm of  $\Lambda$  by using the characteristic polynomial, trace and norm of a projective module in [7], and we shall also show that there exist the analogous relations to the classical case between these and the characteristic polynomial, trace and norm of an  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ . In the general case, we define the reduced trace of  $\Lambda$ , by using the above-mentioned result in § 1.

---

\* This work was supported by the Matsunaga Science Foundation.

An algebra  $\Lambda$  over a commutative ring  $R$ , which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R$ -module, is called, according to [6], a *symmetric  $R$ -algebra*, if  $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ . In the classical theory, it is well known that any semi-simple algebra over a field is symmetric. However, for a general commutative ring  $R$ , it is an open problem whether a semi-simple  $R$ -algebra is symmetric or not.

In § 4 we shall prove, as a partial answer to this, that a separable algebra over a commutative ring is symmetric. This includes the results in Müller [10] and DeMeyer [5].

Throughout this paper a ring means a ring with a unit element, and a (semi-) local ring means a commutative (semi-) local ring which is not always Noetherian.

### 1. Basic results

First we shall prove, as a generalization of (4.5) and (4.7) in [2],

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra over a (not always Noetherian) commutative ring  $R$ , which is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Lambda$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra.
- (2) For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a separable  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -algebra.
- (3) For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  is a separable  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra.

Proof. The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are obvious.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): We have  $\text{w.dim}_{\Lambda^e} \Lambda = \sup_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{w.dim}_{\Lambda^e_{\mathfrak{m}}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  where  $\mathfrak{m}$  runs over all maximal ideals of  $R$ . If each  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -separable, then we have  $\text{w.dim}_{\Lambda^e_{\mathfrak{m}}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$  and so  $\text{w.dim}_{\Lambda^e} \Lambda = 0$ . As  $\Lambda$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -finitely presented, this shows that  $\Lambda$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -projective.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Without loss of generality we may assume that  $R$  is a local ring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Now suppose that  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  is  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -separable. Let  $\hat{R}$  be the Henselization of  $R$  and put  $\hat{\Lambda} = \hat{R} \otimes_R \Lambda$ . Then we have  $\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\hat{\Lambda}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ . Since  $\hat{R}$  is  $R$ -faithfully flat, we have  $\text{w.dim}_{\Lambda^e} \Lambda = \text{w.dim}_{\hat{\Lambda}^e} \hat{\Lambda}$  and so  $\Lambda$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -projective if and only if  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is  $\hat{\Lambda}^e$ -projective. Hence we may further assume that  $R$  is Henselian. Then, for the projective  $\Lambda^e/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda^e$ -module  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ , there is a finitely generated projective  $\Lambda^e$ -module  $P$  such that  $\bar{f}: P/\mathfrak{m}P \cong \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  as  $\Lambda^e$ -modules. Since  $R$  is local and  $P, \Lambda^e$  are  $\Lambda^e$ -projective, there exist  $\Lambda^e$ -epimorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow \Lambda$ , which induces  $\bar{f}$  on  $P/\mathfrak{m}P$ , and  $g: \Lambda^e \rightarrow P$  such that  $f \circ g$  is the natural epimorphism of  $\Lambda^e$  onto  $\Lambda$ . The homomorphism  $f \circ g$  is  $R$ -split and so  $f$  is also  $R$ -split. From this it follows directly that  $f$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\Lambda$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -projective, which completes our proof.

It is remarked that, by (1.1), we can omit the assumption that  $R$  is Noetherian from almost all of results in [2].

The following proposition will play an important part in § 3.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a separable  $R$ -algebra, which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R$ -module. Then there exist a Noetherian subring  $R'$  of  $R$  and a separable  $R'$ -subalgebra  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$ , which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R'$ -module, such that  $\Lambda = R \otimes_{R'} \Lambda'$ .*

Proof. Let  $\{\lambda_0 = 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$  be a set of generators of  $\Lambda$  over  $R$ . Let  $F$  be a free  $R$ -module with a basis  $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ , and define the  $R$ -epimorphism  $f: F \rightarrow \Lambda$  by putting  $f(u_i) = \lambda_i$  for each  $i$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is  $R$ -projective, we have an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g: \Lambda \rightarrow F$  such that  $f \circ g = 1_\Lambda$ . Now we put  $g(\lambda_i) = \sum_{j=1}^t r_{ij} u_j$ ,  $r_{ij} \in R$ . Let  $R_0$  be the prime ring of  $R$  and  $R_1$  the polynomial ring over  $R$  generated by  $\{r_{ij}\}$ . Then the module generated by  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$  over  $R_1$  is  $R_1$ -projective. As  $\Lambda$  is  $R$ -separable, defining the  $\Lambda^e$ -epimorphism  $\varphi: \Lambda^e \rightarrow \Lambda$  by putting  $\varphi(\lambda_i \otimes_R \lambda_j) = \lambda_i \lambda_j$ , there is a  $\Lambda^e$ -homomorphism  $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^e$  such that  $\varphi \psi = 1$ . Put  $\psi(\lambda_i) = \sum_{j,k} s_{ijk} (\lambda_j \otimes_R \lambda_k)$ ,  $s_{ijk} \in R$  and  $\lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_k t_{ijk} \lambda_k$ ,  $t_{ijk} \in R$ . Furthermore let  $R'$  be the polynomial ring over  $R_0$  generated by  $\{r_{ij}\}$ ,  $\{s_{ijk}\}$  and  $\{t_{ijk}\}$ , and denote by  $\Lambda'$  the module over  $R'$  generated by  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ . Then  $R'$  is Noetherian, and  $\Lambda'$  is an  $R'$ -algebra which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R'$ -module, as  $R'$  includes all of  $\{r_{ij}\}$  and  $\{t_{ijk}\}$ . If we define a  $\Lambda'^e$ -epimorphism  $\varphi': \Lambda'^e \rightarrow \Lambda'$  by putting  $\varphi'(\lambda_i \otimes_{R'} \lambda_j) = \lambda_i \lambda_j$  and we put  $\psi'(\lambda_i) = \sum_{jk} s_{ijk} (\lambda_j \otimes_{R'} \lambda_k)$  for any  $i$ , then, from the fact that  $\Lambda$  is  $R$ -finitely generated projective, we see easily that  $\psi'$  is the well-defined  $\Lambda'^e$ -homomorphism of  $\Lambda'$  into  $\Lambda'^e$  such that  $\varphi' \circ \psi' = 1_{\Lambda'}$ . Therefore  $\Lambda'$  is a separable  $R'$ -algebra. Let  $\alpha$  be the  $R$ -algebra epimorphism of  $R \otimes_{R'} \Lambda'$  onto  $\Lambda$  which is defined by  $\alpha(r \otimes_{R'} \lambda_i) = r \lambda_i$ , for any  $r \in R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  and put  $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{m} \cap R'$ . Then we have  $(R \otimes_{R'} \Lambda')_{\mathfrak{m}} = R_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{R'_{\mathfrak{p}'}} \Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  and so  $\alpha$  induces naturally an  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -algebra epimorphism  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}: R_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{R'_{\mathfrak{p}'}} \Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}'} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Since  $\Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  is  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ -free,  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}$  must be an isomorphism. From this it follows immediately that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Thus our proof is completed.

### 2. Central separable algebras with proper splitting rings

Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra and  $S$  a commutative  $R$ -algebra. If there exists a finitely generated faithful projective  $S$ -module  $P$  such that  $S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_S(P, P)$  as  $S$ -algebras, then  $S$  is called, according to [2], the *splitting ring* of  $\Lambda$ . Especially, when  $S \supseteq R$ ,  $S$  is called the *proper splitting ring* of  $\Lambda$ .

First we give, as a slight generalization of [2], (6.3),

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\Lambda$  a central separable  $R$ -algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  has a proper splitting ring  $S$  which is a*

separable  $R$ -algebra and a finitely generated free  $R$ -module. Especially, if  $R$  is Henselian, then we can choose as  $S$  a local ring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_S$ .

Proof. By using (1.1) and the Henselization instead of the completion, this can be proved along the same line as in [2], (6.3).

For a central separable algebra over a general commutative ring  $R$ , we can not assure the existence of the proper splitting ring which is  $R$ -separable and  $R$ -finitely generated, projective. In this section, we shall consider only the existence of proper splitting rings. However, we could not prove the existence of a proper splitting ring for a central separable algebra over a general coefficient ring.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring which is contained in a semi-local ring. Then any central separable  $R$ -algebra has a proper splitting ring. Especially, this assumption for  $R$  is satisfied by a Noetherian ring or an integral domain.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this proposition in case  $R$  is itself a semi-local ring. Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring with maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$  and put  $R' = R_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \oplus R_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \oplus \dots \oplus R_{\mathfrak{m}_t}$ . Then  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $R' \otimes_R \Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \oplus \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_t}$ . Accordingly to (2.1), there exists a proper splitting ring  $S_i$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$  for any  $i$ . If we put  $S = S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$ , then we have  $R \subseteq R' \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is a proper splitting ring of  $\Lambda$ , as is required.

As another case, which is not included in (2.2), we have

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with the total quotient ring  $K$  such that any prime ideal of  $K$  is maximal. Then any central separable  $R$ -algebra has a proper splitting ring.*

Proof. We may assume  $R=K$ . If we denote by  $\mathfrak{n}$  the nil radical of  $R$ , then  $R/\mathfrak{n}$  is, by our assumption, a regular ring (in the Neumann's sense). Therefore we may further assume that  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module. Let  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$  be an  $R$ -basis of  $\Lambda$  with  $u_1=1$ , and put  $u_i u_j = \sum_{k=1}^t r_{ijk} u_k$ ,  $r_{ijk} \in R$ . Let  $R_0$  be the prime ring of  $R$ , and put  $R' = R_0[\{r_{ijk}\}]$  and  $\Omega' = \{r'_1 u_1 + \dots + r'_t u_t \mid r'_i \in R'\}$ . Then  $\Omega'$  is a central  $R'$ -algebra with an  $R'$ -basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ , and we have  $R \otimes_{R'} \Omega' = \Lambda$ . Furthermore let  $\tilde{R}$  be the integral closure of  $R'$  in  $R$ . Since  $R/\mathfrak{n}$  is regular, any non-zero divisor of  $\tilde{R}$  is a unit in  $R$ , and therefore the total quotient ring  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{R}$  can be regarded as a subring of  $R$ . From the fact that  $\tilde{R}$  is integral over  $R'$ , we see that the total quotient ring  $K'$  of  $R'$  is included in  $R$ . Since  $R'$  is Noetherian and  $\tilde{K}/\mathfrak{n} \cap \tilde{K}$  is regular,  $K'/\mathfrak{n}K'$  is Artinian, and so  $K'$  is itself Artinian. If we put  $\Lambda' = K' \otimes_{R'} \Omega'$ , then  $R' \otimes_{K'} \Lambda' = \Lambda$  and, as  $K'$  is Artinian, we can easily see that  $\Lambda$  is a central separable  $K'$ -algebra. According to (2.1), there

exists a proper splitting ring  $F$  of  $\Lambda'$  which is a finitely generated projective  $K'$ -module. Now put  $S = F \otimes_{K'} R$ . Then  $S \supseteq F$ ,  $R$  and  $S \otimes_R \Lambda = S \otimes_R R \otimes_{K'} \Lambda' = F \otimes_{K'} R \otimes_{K'} \Lambda' = (F \otimes_{K'} R) \otimes_F F \otimes_{K'} \Lambda'$ . Consequently,  $S$  is a proper splitting ring of  $\Lambda$ , which completes our proof.

### 3. The trace and norm of a central separable algebra

1. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $P$  a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. First suppose that  $P$  has (constant) rank  $n$ . Then there exists a commutative ring  $S \supseteq R$  such that  $S \otimes_R P$  is a free  $S$ -module of rank  $n$ . Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be a  $S$ -basis of  $S \otimes_R P$ . If  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, P)$ , then  $f$  can be regarded as an element of  $\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R P)$ , and we can put  $f(u_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i s_{ij}'$  for some  $s_{ij}' \in S$ . Now put  $\text{Pc}_P(f: X) = |s_{ij}' - X \delta_{ij}|$ ,  $T_P(f) = \text{traces}(s_{ij}')$  and  $N_P(f) = |s_{ij}'|$  where  $X$  denotes an indeterminate. It can easily be shown by using the localization at any maximal ideal of  $R$  that  $\text{Pc}_P(f, X) \in R[X]$  and  $T_P(f), N_P(f) \in R$  and that these are determined without depending on  $S$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ . If  $P$  has not constant rank, there is, by [7], § 2, a unique decomposition  $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$  such that any  $R_i \otimes P$  has rank  $n_i$  over  $R_i$  where  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$ , and we have  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P) = \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus \text{Hom}_{R_i}(R_i \otimes P, R_i \otimes P)$ . Let  $f$  be an element of  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  and  $f_i$  the  $i$ -th component of  $f$ . Then we put  $\text{Pc}_P(f: X) = \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus \text{Pc}_{R_i \otimes P}(f_i: X)$ ,  $T_P(f) = \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus T_{R_i \otimes P}(f_i)$  and  $N_P(f) = \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus N_{R_i \otimes P}(f_i)$  and we call them the characteristic polynomial, trace and norm of  $f$ . It can be easily shown that our definitions coincide with those in [7].

If  $\Lambda$  is an  $R$ -algebra which is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, then we use  $\text{Pc}_{\Lambda/R}(f: X)$ ,  $T_{\Lambda/R}(f)$  and  $N_{\Lambda/R}(f)$  instead of  $\text{Pc}_\Lambda(f: X)$ ,  $T_\Lambda(f)$  and  $N_\Lambda(f)$ .

2. Now we shall define the reduced characteristic polynomial, trace and norm for a central separable algebra with a proper splitting ring.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra with a proper splitting ring  $S$ . Then there exists a  $S$ -algebra isomorphism  $h_S: S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_S(P^{(S)}, P^{(S)})$  for some finitely generated projective  $S$ -module  $P^{(S)}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *For any element  $\lambda$  of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  is a polynomial of  $R[X]$  which does not depend on  $S$ ,  $P^{(S)}$  and  $h_S$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $R$  is a local ring. Then  $\Lambda$  is a projective  $R$ -module of constant rank, and so  $P^{(S)}$  is also a projective  $S$ -module of constant rank. By replacing  $S$  by any extension ring  $S'$  of it and by replacing  $h_S$  by  $1 \otimes h_S$ ,  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  is invariant, and therefore we may further assume that

$P^{(S)}$  is  $S$ -free. Then  $h_S$  induces a  $S$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_S: S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong M_n(S)$  such that  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X) = |XE_n - k_S(\lambda)|$ . On the other hand, according to (2.1), there exists a proper splitting semi-local ring  $T$  of  $\Lambda$  which is  $R$ -free. For  $T$  we can define, similarly,  $h_T, P^{(T)}$  and  $k_T$ . Since  $T$  is  $R$ -free, we have  $R \otimes_R R = S \otimes_R R \cap R \otimes_R T$  in  $S \otimes_R T$ , and so we may suppose that there is a commutative ring  $U$  containing both  $S$  and  $T$  and  $S \cap T = R$  in  $U$ . Now the algebra isomorphisms  $k_S: S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong M_n(S)$  and  $k_T: T \otimes_R \Lambda \cong M_n(T)$  can, naturally, be extended to the  $U$ -algebra isomorphisms  $k_S^*: k_T^*: U \otimes_R \Lambda \cong M_n(U)$ . Then  $k_S^* \circ k_T^*$  is an  $U$ -algebra automorphism of  $M_n(U)$  and it induces an  $U_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -algebra automorphism of  $M_n(U_{\mathfrak{m}})$  for any maximal ideal of  $U$ . As  $U_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a local ring, it is inner, and so we have  $|XE_n - k_S^*(\lambda^*)| = |XE_n - k_T^*(\lambda^*)|$  in  $U_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$  for any  $\lambda^* \in U \otimes_R \Lambda$ . Hence we have  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X) = |XE_n - k_S(\lambda)| = |XE_n - k_S^*(\lambda)| = |XE_n - k_T^*(\lambda)| = \text{Pc}_{P^{(T)}}(h_T(\lambda): X)$  in  $U[X]$ . However, as  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X) \in S[X]$  and  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(T)}}(h_T(\lambda): X) \in T[X]$ , we obtain  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X) = \text{Pc}_{P^{(T)}}(h_T(\lambda): X) \in R[X] = S[X] \cap T[X]$ . Thus  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  is a polynomial of  $R[X]$ . It is obvious from the above proof that this does not depend on  $S, P^{(S)}$  and  $h_S$ , which completes our proof for a local ring  $R$ .

Let  $R$  be a general commutative ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Denote by  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  the residue of  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and by  $h_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}}$  the  $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -algebra isomorphism:  $S_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \text{Hom}_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}}(P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(S)}, P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(S)})$  induced by  $h_S$ . Further let  $[\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)]_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the residue of  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  in  $S_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ . Then we see  $[\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Pc}_{P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(S)}}(h_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}): X)$ . Since, by the preceding argument for a local ring,  $\text{Pc}_{P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(S)}}(h_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}): X) \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ , we have also  $[\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)]_{\mathfrak{m}} \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ . Consequently we obtain  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X) \in R[X]$ . It is also evident in this case that  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  does not depend on  $S, P^{(S)}$  and  $h_S$ .

Now we denote  $\text{Pc}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda): X)$  by  $\text{Pcrd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda: X)$  and we call it the reduced characteristic polynomial of  $\lambda$ . Furthermore, if we put  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda))$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda) = \text{N}_{P^{(S)}}(h_S(\lambda))$ , then they are elements of  $R$  which do not depend on  $S, P^{(S)}$  and  $h_S$  and we call them the reduced trace and norm of  $\lambda$ , respectively.

From our definitions it follows immediately

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$  and any  $r \in R$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) &= \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_1) + \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_2), \\ \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(r\lambda) &= r \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda), \\ \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_1\lambda_2) &= \text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_2\lambda_1), \\ \text{Nrd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_1\lambda_2) &= \text{Nrd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_1) \text{Nrd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

*Especially, if  $\Lambda$  has rank  $n^2$  over  $R$ , then we have*

$$\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}(r\lambda) = r^n \text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda)$$

From this proposition, it follows that  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  into  $R$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}$  is a semi-group homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  into  $R$  as the multiplicative semi-groups.

For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , let  $\overline{[\text{Prd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda: X)]_{\mathfrak{m}}}$  be the residue of  $\text{Prd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda: X)$  in  $(R/\mathfrak{m})[X]$  and denote by  $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  the residue of  $\Lambda$  in  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ . Now we can show  $\overline{[\text{Prd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda: X)]_{\mathfrak{m}}} = \text{Prd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{m}}: X)$ . In fact, it suffices to prove this in case  $R$  is a Henselian local ring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . However, in this case, there is, by (2.1), a proper splitting local ring  $S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}S$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$  and  $S$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module. Then  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$  becomes the splitting field of the classical central separable  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ , from which our result follows immediately. Accordingly,  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}$  induce, naturally,  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$ , respectively, which coincide with those in the classical sense. By summarizing these, we obtain

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , the residue of  $\text{Prd}_{\Delta/R}$  in  $(R/\mathfrak{m})[X]$  coincides with  $\text{Prd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$ . Especially, the residues of  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}$  in  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  coincide with  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$ , respectively.*

3. Here we shall determine the relations between the trace (norm) and reduced trace (reduced norm) of a central separable algebra, which are given in the same form as in the classical one (cf. [4]).

Assume that  $\Lambda$  is a projective  $R$ -module of the constant rank  $m$ . Then we may suppose  $S \otimes_R \Lambda \cong M_n(S)$ , where  $m = n^2$ . From our definitions, it follows directly that  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(1) = n$ ,  $\text{T}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda) = n \text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda)$  and  $\text{N}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda) = [\text{Nrd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda)]^n$ . In the general case, let  $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$  be the unique decomposition of  $R$  such that  $R_i \otimes_R \Lambda$  has rank  $m_i$  over  $R_i$  where  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_t$ . Then we can put  $m_i = n_i^2$  for any  $i$ . Let  $e_i$  be a unit element of  $R_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  the  $i$ -th component of  $\lambda$ . Then we obtain

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\text{Trd}_{R_i \otimes \Delta/R_i}(e_i) = n_i e_i$  for each  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{T}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda) &= \text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(1) \text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \text{Trd}_{R_i \otimes \Delta/R_i}(\lambda_i) \\ \text{N}_{\Delta/R}(\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^t [\text{Nrd}_{R_i \otimes \Delta/R_i}(\lambda_i)]^{n_i} \end{aligned}$$

The following result will be used in § 4.

**Proposition 3.5.**  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  is an  $R$ -epimorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto  $R$ .

Proof. By the remark after (3.2), it suffices to prove that  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  is an epimorphism. By virtue of the classical result, for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/\mathfrak{m}\Delta/R/\mathfrak{m}}$  is an epimorphism of  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  onto  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . According to (2.3), then,  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  must be an epimorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto  $R$ ,

**Corollary 3.6.** *The complete image  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(\Lambda)$  of  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  is a principal ideal of  $R$  generated by  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(1)$ . Especially,  $\Lambda$  is strongly separable if and only if  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(1)$  is a unit of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of (3.4) and (3.5).

4. As is remarked in § 2, we could not succeed in proving the existence of a proper splitting ring for a central separable algebra in the general case. Hence we can not define the reduced characteristic polynomial for a central separable algebra in the case where we can not show the existence of a proper splitting ring. However we can define, by using (1.2), the reduced trace for any central separable  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ . In fact, by virtue of (1.2), there exist a Noetherian subring  $R'$  of  $R$  and a central separable  $R'$ -algebra  $\Lambda'$  such that  $\Lambda = R \otimes_{R'} \Lambda'$ . Since  $\Lambda'$  has a proper splitting ring by (2.2), there exists, according to 2, the reduced trace  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda'/R'}: \Lambda' \rightarrow R'$ . Now we define the reduced trace  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}: \Lambda \rightarrow R$ , by putting  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}(r \otimes \lambda') = r \text{Trd}_{\Lambda'/R'}(\lambda')$  for any  $r \in R$  and for any  $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$ . It can be easily shown that, for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , the  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -homomorphism  $(\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R})_{\mathfrak{m}}: \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , which is induced on  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  by  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$ , coincides with the reduced trace  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}/R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  defined by using the proper splitting ring of  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Especially, if  $\Lambda$  has a proper splitting ring,  $\text{Trd}_{\Delta/R}$  coincides with that defined in 2. Furthermore we can also prove (3.2)~(3.6) in this case.

**4. The symmetricity of a separable algebra**

Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $R$ -algebra, which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R$ -module. We shall consider  $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$  as a left  $\Lambda^e$ -module through the operations  $(\lambda \cdot f)(\mu) = f(\mu\lambda), (f \cdot \lambda)(\mu) = f(\lambda\mu)$  where  $f \in \Lambda^*, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ . Following [6], we call  $\Lambda$  a *Frobenius  $R$ -algebra* if  $\Lambda^*$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$  as left (or equivalently right)  $\Lambda$ -modules, and, furthermore, is called a *symmetric  $R$ -algebra* if  $\Lambda^*$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ . From our definitions it follows that any symmetric  $R$ -algebra is Frobenius.

We begin with

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be a symmetric, commutative  $R$ -algebra and  $\Lambda$  a symmetric  $S$ -algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is a symmetric  $R$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* By our assumptions we have  $\Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_S(\Lambda, S)$  as two-sided  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $S \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, R)$  as  $S$ -modules. So we obtain  $\text{Hom}_S(\Lambda, S) \cong \text{Hom}_S(\Lambda, \text{Hom}_R(S, R)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda \otimes_S S, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$  as two-sided  $\Lambda$ -modules. This shows that  $\Lambda$  is a symmetric  $R$ -algebra.

It is well known, in the classical theory, that a semi-simple algebra over a field is symmetric. However, for any commutative ring  $R$ , it is an open question whether a semi-simple  $R$ -algebra is symmetric or not,

Now we give, as a partial answer to this question,

**Theorem 4.2.** *A separable  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R$ -module, is a symmetric  $R$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be the center of  $\Lambda$ . According to [2] (2.1),  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated projective  $C$ -module. By our assumption,  $\Lambda$  is  $R$ -finitely generated projective, and so  $C$  is also a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, as  $C$  is a  $C$ -direct summand of  $\Lambda$ . Since, by [2], A.4, a commutative separable  $R$ -algebra, which is a finitely generated, faithful, projective  $R$ -module, is symmetric,  $C$  must be a symmetric  $R$ -algebra. Therefore, by (4.1), it suffices to prove our theorem in case  $R=C$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $R$ -algebra and denote by  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  the reduced trace of  $\Lambda$ , defined in § 3. Then  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  is a symmetric  $R$ -homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  into  $R$ : i.e., we have  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda\mu)=\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\mu\lambda)$  for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ . Hence, putting  $\Phi(\lambda)(\mu)=\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}(\lambda\mu)$  for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Phi$  is a  $\Lambda^e$ -homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  into  $\Lambda^*$ . By (3.3), for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  induces naturally the reduced trace  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda/R/\mathfrak{m}}$  in the classical sense on  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ , and therefore  $\Phi$  induces, naturally, the  $\Lambda^e/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda^e$ -homomorphism  $\bar{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}: \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda^* \cong (\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda)^*$  such that  $\bar{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\bar{\lambda})(\bar{\mu})=\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda/R/\mathfrak{m}}(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu})$  for any  $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ . From the classical result it follows that  $\bar{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a  $\Lambda^e/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda^e$ -isomorphism. As both  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^*$  are finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we can easily see from this that  $\Phi$  itself is an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto  $\Lambda^*$ . This completes our proof.

We remark that (4.2) was known in some special cases (cf. [2], [5] and [10]).

Finally we give, as an additional remark,

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a central  $R$ -algebra which is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Lambda$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra.
- (2) The  $R$ -module  $\Lambda/[\Lambda, \Lambda]$  is isomorphic to  $R$ , and, for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$  is a semi-simple  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -algebra.

Here we denote by  $[\Lambda, \Lambda]$  the  $R$ -module generated by all elements of  $\Lambda$  in the form  $\lambda\mu - \mu\lambda$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra. Then the second assertion of (2) follows from [2], (1.6) and so it suffices to prove  $\Lambda/[\Lambda, \Lambda] \cong R$ . Let  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  be the reduced trace of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  is a symmetric  $R$ -epimorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto  $R$ , and therefore, putting  $\text{Ker Trd}_{\Lambda/R}=K$ , we have an  $R$ -exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}} R \rightarrow 0$$

and  $K \supseteq [\Lambda, \Lambda]$ . Hence we have only to show  $K=[\Lambda, \Lambda]$ . As is shown in § 3,

$\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/R}$  induces naturally the reduced trace  $\text{Trd}_{\Lambda/m\Lambda/R/m}$  of  $\Lambda/m\Lambda$  for any maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$ , and we have  $\text{Ker Trd}_{\Lambda/m\Lambda/R/m} = K/mK$ . However, it is well known, in the classical theory, that the kernel of the reduced trace of a central separable  $R/m$ -algebra  $\Lambda/m\Lambda$  coincides with  $[\Lambda/m\Lambda, \Lambda/m\Lambda]$ . Consequently we must have  $K/mK = [\Lambda/m\Lambda, \Lambda/m\Lambda]$  for any maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$ . From this we easily see  $K = [\Lambda, \Lambda]$ , as  $K$  is  $R$ -finitely generated. Thus the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is proved. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Conversely suppose (2). By (1.1) it suffices to prove that  $\Lambda/m\Lambda$  has  $R/m$  as its center. By our assumption we have an  $R$ -exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow [\Lambda, \Lambda] \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces an  $R/m$ -exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow [\Lambda, \Lambda]/m[\Lambda, \Lambda] \rightarrow \Lambda/m\Lambda \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} R/mR \rightarrow 0.$$

and so we have  $[\Lambda, \Lambda]/m[\Lambda, \Lambda] \cong [\Lambda/m\Lambda, \Lambda/m\Lambda]$ . Therefore we have  $\Lambda/m\Lambda \cong [\Lambda/m\Lambda, \Lambda/m\Lambda] \oplus R/m$ . On the other hand, since  $\Lambda/m\Lambda$  is  $R/m$ -semi-simple,  $\Lambda/m\Lambda$  is separable over its center  $\bar{C}$ , and then we have  $\Lambda/m\Lambda \cong [\Lambda/m\Lambda, \Lambda/m\Lambda] \oplus \bar{C}$ . As  $\bar{C} \supseteq R/m$ , we see from these that  $\bar{C}$  coincides with  $R/m$ . This completes our proof.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

---

### References

- [1] M. Auslander and D.A. Buchsbaum: *On ramification theory in Noetherian rings*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 749–765.
- [2] M. Auslander and O. Goldman: *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409.
- [3] G. Azumaya: *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **2** (1951), 119–150.
- [4] N. Bourbaki: *Algèbre*, Chap. 8, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [5] F.R. DeMeyer: *The trace map and separable algebras*, Osaka J. Math. **3** (1966), 7–11.
- [6] S. Eilenberg and T. Nakayama: *On the dimension of modules and algebras*, II, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1955), 1–16.
- [7] O. Goldman: *Determinants in projective modules*, Nagoya Math. J. **18** (1961), 27–36.
- [8] A. Hattori: *Semisimple algebras over a commutative ring*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963), 404–419.
- [9] T. Kanzaki: *Special type of separable algebras over a commutative ring*, Proc. Japan Acad. **40** (1964), 781–786.
- [10] B. Müller: *Quasi-Frobenius-Erweiterungen*, II, Math. Z. **88** (1965), 380–409.
- [11] M. Nagata: *Local Rings*, Interscience, 1962.